## SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of the Planning Portfolio Holder's Meeting held on Friday, 4 December 2015 at 10.00 a.m.

Portfolio Holder: Robert Turner

Councillors in attendance:

Scrutiny and Overview Committee monitors: Kevin Cuffley

Opposition spokesmen: Henry Batchelor

Also in attendance:

Anna Bradnam and Lynda Harford

Officers:

Jo Mills Planning and New Communities Director

David Roberts Principal Planning Officer
Ian Senior Democratic Services Officer
Alison Talkington Senior Planning Policy Officer

## 1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

### 2. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The Planning Portfolio Holder signed, as a correct record, the Minutes of the meeting held on 17 November 2015, subject to the following amendment:

# Minute 8 (Amendments to the current scheme of delegated powers and functions for planning decisions)

In the final paragraph, replace the words "...at least..." with the words "...up to..." so that it then stated, "Recognising the mood of the meeting, the Planning Portfolio Holder agreed to ask officers to look again at the scheme of delegation of planning decisions, and to defer making a recommendation to Full Council for a period of up to six months."

## 3. STRATEGIC POLICIES IN THE ADOPTED DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE

The Planning Portfolio Holder considered a report identifying policies in the adopted development plan that should be regarded as strategic and with which, therefore, a neighbourhood plan would have to comply. Legal advice stated that Parish Councils should be given the opportunity to comment during a consultation on the proposed list of strategic policies.to give them weight prior to a formal decision on them by the Council. The District Council intended to publish its consultation document before Christmas.

Officers highlighted the differences between Appendix A (Strategic Policies in the emerging Local Plan) and Appendix B (Strategic Policies in the adopted development plan for South Cambridgeshire). Neighbourhood Plans adopted by the Council would become a material consideration in the planning process. The Planning and New Communities Director explained that those Neighbourhood Plans adopted on the basis of strategic policies identified in the adopted development plan would not have to be updated once the new Local Plan had been adopted.

Councillor Francis Morris, Chairman of Cottenham Parish Council, addressed the Portfolio

Holder and those present. He pointed out that Parish Councils would find it helpful if consultation highlighted the difference between Appendix A and Appendix B.

The Planning Portfolio Holder agreed

- The policies in the adopted development plan for South Cambridgeshire that are proposed to be identified as strategic for the purposes of neighbourhood planning as listed in Appendix B of the report from the Planning and New Communities Director; and
- To carry out a consultation with interested parties, in particular parish councils, the results of which would be reported back to a future Planning Portfolio Holder meeting.

# 4. UTTLESFORD LOCAL PLAN - RESPONSE TO ISSUES AND OPTIONS CONSULTATION

The Planning Portfolio Holder considered a report on the Local Plan Issues and Options consultation being undertaken by Uttlesford District Council.

The Principal Planning Policy Officer referred the Portfolio Holder to the two major concerns for South Cambridgeshire District Council, namely the areas of search along the South Cambridgeshire / Uttlesford border, and the impact on arterial transport links into Cambridge, and its surrounding area. The Planning and New Communities Director added that Cambridgeshire County Council, as Local Highways Authority, had also submitted comments in response to the consultation.

Those present noted the need for a cross-border transport approach, recognising South Cambridgeshire's greater emphasis on public transport (including staff buses provided by businesses such as those at Granta Park) and Uttlesford's reliance on private transport. The Planning and New Communities Director undertook to speak with officers at Cambridgeshire County Council to make sure that this issue was being considered.

Members highlighted the need to consider the impact of Uttlesford's proposals on the adjacent part of Suffolk and, in particular, on Haverhill.

The Planning Portfolio Holder **agreed** the consultation response set out in Appendix 1 of the report from the Planning and New Communities Director, with minor amendments to reflect discussion at the meeting.

#### 5. WORK PROGRAMME

The Planning Portfolio Holder and others present noted the contents of the Work Programme attached to the agenda.

### 6. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next Planning Portfolio Holder meeting had been scheduled for Tuesday 12 January 2016, starting at 2.00pm.

The Meeting ended at 10.55 a.m.